Proposal of Reducing Impact Force Control System for Scan Stage with Decouplable Structure of Coarse and Fine Parts
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Abstract—The upsizing and obtaining higher control accuracy are required for many large industrial machines. However, the requirements are trade-off against each other from the viewpoint of the conventional machine structure. In this paper, a novel structure of stage consists of coarse driving part and fine driving part, which can be uncoupled with each other, is examined. In this case, the fine part can be simplified so that it would be more rigid and has higher resonant frequencies. One problem of the structure is that the impact between the fine part and the coarse part happens at the beginning of the acceleration/deceleration. Therefore, we also design an practical control approach for reducing the impact force to improve the control performance. We also discuss on designing a control system for reducing the impact force between the coarse part and the fine part. The effectiveness of the proposed approach is verified via simulations and experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Demands of large liquid crystal display (LCD) and mobile tools are increasing, and their upsizing, higher resolution, and improvement of production rate are required. Therefore, higher speed and higher precision of industrial machine, such as the embedded stages, become necessary. These stages may have a structure consists of coarse and fine part for follow-up high-speed and high-precision control [1][2]. In this case, the structure of the fine part can be simplified and the weight can be reduced. As a result, resonant frequencies of the fine part can be improved as well as the bandwidth of the feedback control loop [3]. Some similar structures are also studied in assembly robot [4], HDD [5], optical disk [6], etc.

In general, coarse part and fine part are controlled independently. Control law is simple, but the fine part also needs a motor to generate thrust for acceleration and deceleration. For instance, in the case of HDD controlled by dual actuators, the fine part is positioning while the coarse part is stop. Thus, disturbance at the coarse part does not affect on the fine part. However, in the case of scan stages, the coarse and fine parts need to move together. Therefore, disturbance at the coarse part would affect the fine part. As the fabrication is performed when stages move at the constant speed, we consider to decouple the coarse and fine parts during the constant speed and couple them in the acceleration and deceleration. In this case, the weight of the fine stage can be reduced, which is advantageous for high-speed and high-accuracy control. Motivated by this idea, we present a novel stage with decouplable structure of coarse and fine part, as shown in Fig. 1.

In this paper, for convenience, the stage is called as `catapult stage’, and the coarse part and the fine part are referred to ‘sub
As the stage is divided into coarse and fine part, the degree of freedom of stage design is expanded, and more freedom is given for controller design. As a result, higher speed and more precisely positioning will be possible.

Due to the inherent impact between the main stage and sub stage, the control performance would be deteriorated. Hence, the impact force must be reduced when the table is accelerated and decelerated by the sub stage. In our prior work, we modeled the impact force at the link and reduce the impact force by feedforward control [7]. However, the modeling error is unavoidable and the impact force cannot be removed completely. In this paper, we propose reducing impact force control by introducing virtual spring and virtual damper to the link.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section II presents structure of the catapult stage and modeling of the stage. Section III proposes impact force reducing control method of the catapult stage. Section IV shows the comparison of conventional method and proposed method by simulation and experiment. Finally, conclusion is given in Section V.

II. MODELING OF THE CATAPULT STAGE

A. Structure of the stage

The main stage and the sub stage are driven by linear motors. In the main stage, air guide is used, and therefore viscous term can be ignored. In the sub stage, oil–lubricated linear guide is used and the viscous coefficient is denoted by \( D \). The transfer function of the main stage \( P_m \) and the sub stage \( P_s \) are expressed as follows.

\[
P_m = \frac{1}{ms^2} \tag{1}
\]

\[
P_s = \frac{1}{Ms^2 + Ds} \tag{2}
\]

The parameters of the stage are shown in Table I.

Top view of the link between the main stage and the sub stage is shown in Fig. 2. In addition, we show each parameter of the link in Table II. Oval piece can be switched between the major axis direction and the minor axis direction. Square frame and load cell are assembled in the sub stage as shown in Fig. 2. Thus, the main stage can be moved by sub stage via the load cell and the oval piece. As shown in Fig. 3, when major axis direction of the oval piece faces the direction of movement and there is no contact between the oval piece and the load cell, the mode is denoted by Mode a. When there is contact between the flat surface A and hemisphere B. An example is shown in Fig. 5. By Hertz contact theory, the impact force \( F_i \) is given by

\[
F_L = F_i + F_r. \tag{3}
\]

1) Modeling for impact force [8]: When the main stage hits the sub stage, the impact force \( F_i \) occurs between the flat surface A and hemisphere B. An example is shown in Fig. 5.
Fig. 3. Link model of each mode.

![Diagram of modes](image)

(a) Mode a (initial). (b) Mode b (acceleration).
(c) Mode c (constant velocity). (d) Mode d (deceleration).

Fig. 4. Block diagram of catapult stage.

![Block diagram](image)

**F** = **K** x^3/2 = 2 \alpha \sqrt{x} = 2 \_x;

(4)

where \( K \) is non-linear spring coefficient and \( \alpha \) is non-linear damper coefficient.

2) **Modeling for load cell:** We consider the force that occurs when load cell deforms elastically. As shown in Fig. 6, the load cell can be considered as a linear spring. Thus, when the load cell has displacement \( d \), \( F_r \) can be expressed by

\[
F_r = \begin{cases} 
K_r d & (|d| < d_m) \\
K_r d_m & (|d| \geq d_m).
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

3) **Modeling for the LINK:** We add dead zone to the model derived in Section II-B1, II-B2 to estimate gap between the main stage and the sub stage. Moreover we formulated LINK model, as shown in Fig. 7.

Additionally, we measure the force occurred at the link and identify the parameters \( K \), \( \alpha \) and the width of the dead zone of the LINK. We estimate the force \( F_L \) occurred at the link when the sub stage is moved along with the reference velocity, as shown in Fig. 8. We can estimate the force occurred at the link from (6), (7) by disturbance observer (DOB) from input thrust of the sub stage \( F_s \) and position of the sub stage \( x_s \).

\[
x_s = \frac{1}{M s^2 + D s} (F_s - F_L)
\]

(6)

Thus,

\[
\hat{F}_L = F_s - (M \ddot{x}_s + D \dot{x}_s).
\]

(7)

where \( \hat{F}_L \) is estimation value of the force occurred at the link \( F_L \).

In Fig. 9, the solid line shows the output of DOB and the dotted line shows the estimated force. The parameters of the LINK are tuned to let the solid line fit the dotted line.

4) **Modes model:** Catapult stage model is shown in Fig. 10. Mode a, b, c, d are given by switching SW1, SW2. Block diagram of each Mode is shown in Fig. 11. Mode a is shown in Fig. 11(a) that SW1 and SW2 in Fig. 10 are off. Mode b, d are shown in Fig. 11(b) that SW1 and SW2 in Fig. 10 are off and on respectively. Moreover, Mode c is Fig. 11(c) that SW1 and SW2 in Fig. 10 are on and off respectively.

III. CONTROL METHOD OF CATAPULT STAGE

First, before moving, the load cell should be controlled to touch the oval piece so that the thrust propagated from the sub stage to the main stage can be soft. In order to do this, the main stage is stopped and the sub stage is control to follow a step command.

Next, we control the sub stage to follow a position reference by integrating the velocity which is shown in Fig. 8. The control of the main stage is start when the reference shifts from acceleration to constant speed. In the following, a novel control method is proposed to reduce the impact force.

A. Impact force reduction by feedforward control (conventional method)

The velocity signal shown in Fig. 12 is used instead of the signal shown in Fig. 8. The integrations of the velocity signals shown in Fig. 12 are used as the position reference. Note that the main stage is controlled only from 0.5 s to 1.5 s.

In Mode c, the position reference of the sub stage is reduced a little at 0.5 s to decouple the stages. Moreover, the position
reference of the sub stage is reduced a little again at 1.4 s to reduce the impact force. The amplitude of the reduction is the gap between the oval piece and the load cell.

**B. Virtual spring and virtual damper (proposed method)**

We add feedback control to the conventional method explained in Section III-A. As shown in Fig. 13, we introduce virtual spring and virtual damper to reduce the impact force that work when relative position is negative. In this figure, $K_V$, $D_V$ are virtual spring coefficient and virtual damper coefficient. These parameters are shown in Table III.

Proposed block diagram is shown in Fig. 14, where the P–PI controller is used as the compensator, and $P_{sn}$ is nominal model of the sub stage. $P_{sn}$ generates additional position signal.

In this figure, $V$ is expressed as the transfer function:

$$V = K_V + D_V s$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

1) **Stability of proposed method:** We analyze the stability of the control system shown in Fig. 14. Loop transfer function $L_s$ from the reference position of the sub stage $x_{sr}$ to the position of the substage $x_s$ and loop transfer function $L_m$ from the position of the main stage $x_m$ to the position of the substage $x_s$ are shown as follows.

$$L_s = \frac{P_s C_{sv} C_{sp}}{1 + P_s V + s P_s C_{sv} + P_s P_{sn} C_{sv} C_{sp} V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$L_m = \frac{P_s V + P_s P_{sn} C_{sv} C_{sp} V}{1 + s P_s C_{sv} + P_s C_{sv} C_{sp}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Feedback controller is designed by pole placement method. The pole of velocity loop is -100 Hz and the pole of the position loop is -10 Hz. In this case, Bode diagrams of $L_s$, $L_m$ are shown as Fig. 15 and gain margin and phase margin are shown in Table IV. From Table IV, we can know that the proposed method is stable.

**IV. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT**

**A. Simulation**

In this section, we simulate control method shown in Section III by the catapult model formulated in Section II-B3. We compare conventional method and proposed method when the modeling error of the gap has no error, +5 % error and -5 % error. FB controller is designed by pole placement method to plant model. The pole of velocity loop is -100 Hz and the pole of the position loop is -10 Hz.
The simulation results are shown in Fig. 16–18. The solid lines show the results of the conventional method, and the dotted lines show the results of the proposed method. From Fig. 16(d), we can know that maximum value of the force is larger but the settling time is not so changed. In addition, from Fig. 17(d) and Fig. 18(d), impact force is reduced when there is modeling error of the gap. From these results, we can confirm effectiveness of the proposed method.

B. Experiment

The experiments are also performed to verify the proposed method. In the following experiments, the main stage and the sub stage are controlled by velocity controller for the case of convenience. FB controllers are designed by pole placement method. The pole of velocity loop is -20 Hz. The experimental results are shown in Fig. 19. The solid lines show the results of the conventional method, and the dotted lines the results of the proposed method. Fig. 19(d) shows the comparison of the peek of the thrust of the sub stage in deceleration. It is observed that the impact force can be reduced by the proposed method.

V. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel structure of stage is presented for follow-up high-speed and high-precision control. However, due to the inherent impact between the main stage and sub stage, the control performance is deteriorated. In this paper, the impact force is reduced by introducing virtual spring and virtual damper. The proposed method can reduce the impact force even if the modeling error exists. The effectiveness of the proposed method is confirmed by simulation and experiment.

TABLE III
VIRTUAL SPRING AND VIRTUAL DAMPER PARAMETERS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring constant $K_V$</td>
<td>100 N/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damper constant $D_V$</td>
<td>3000 N·s/m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14. Block diagram (proposed).

TABLE IV
MARGIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gain margin of $L_s$</td>
<td>inf dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain margin of $L_m$</td>
<td>11.6 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase margin of $L_s$</td>
<td>82.2 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase margin of $L_m$</td>
<td>33.1 deg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 16. Simulation result w/o modeling error.

Fig. 17. Simulation result w/ modeling error(+5%).

Fig. 18. Simulation result w/ modeling error(-5%).

Fig. 19. Experiment result.